



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,699	04/21/2004	Karen M. Cheves	1001.1705101	5388
28075	7590	03/05/2008	EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			GILBERT, ANDREW M	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/828,699	CHEVES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDREW M. GILBERT. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mike McGrath. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 2/21/2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 4 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Virgil et al (5320634); Parodi (5250070); Lary (6306151).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed the contents of the Advisory Action mailed on 1/17/2008 including suggest claim amendments to overcome the prior art of record and further define the Applicant's invention. The Applicant will use the suggested claim amendments as guidance and file a Request for Continued Examination. The Examiner will then conduct a new search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew M Gilbert/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.